Finite Difference Approximations of SPDEs

Jochen Voss

University of Leeds, Statistics

18th December 2009

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

joint work with Martin Hairer (Courant/Warwick)

Outline

[Stochastic Burgers' Equation](#page-2-0)

[Discretising the Nonlinearity](#page-8-0)

[Simulations](#page-15-0)

[Small noise/viscosity limit](#page-22-0)

 \equiv

 290

K ロ ト K 御 ト K 差 ト

Stochastic Burgers' Equation

K ロ X イロ X K ミ X K ミ X ミ → S V C Y C

We consider the *stochastic Burgers'* equation

$$
\partial_t u = \nu \, \partial_x^2 u - u \partial_x u + \sigma \, \partial_t W,
$$

where $x\in[0,2\pi],\;t\geq0,$ the operator $\partial^{2}_{\mathsf{x}}$ is equipped with periodic boundary conditions, and $\partial_t W$ is space time white noise.

 \triangleright u $\partial_x u$ is a transport term (shifts right if $u > 0$ and left if $u < 0$).

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

- ► the $\partial_x^2 u$ -term "smoothes" the solution
- \triangleright $\partial_t W$ adds noise

 $2Q$ メロト メタト メミト B ŧ \sim 41

Since the noise W is very "rough", we need to use the concept of $mild$ solutions.

Definition. A process u with values $u(t) \in L^2([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the stochastic Burgers' equation, if

$$
u(t) = e^{-tL}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)L} F(u(s)) ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)L} dW_s
$$

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

for all $t \geq 0$, where

 \blacktriangleright $L = \partial^2_x$ on $L^2\big([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R}\big)$ with periodic boundary conditions

$$
\blacktriangleright F(u) = -u \, \partial_x u
$$

 \triangleright W is a cylindrical Wiener process

One can prove that there exists a global mild solution in $L^2([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$:

- \blacktriangleright Existence of local solutions follows from abstract theory.
- \triangleright Existence of global solutions uses the fact that the non-linear drift term can be written as $u\partial_x u = \partial_x (u^2/2)$.

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

► The solution satisfies $u(t) \in H^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0.1$

K ロラ X 御う X 差す X 差す 重

 290

Discretising the Nonlinearity

K ロ X イロ X K ミ X K ミ X ミ → S V C Y C

To discretise $u\partial_{x}u$, we consider the approximating equation

$$
du_{\varepsilon}(x,t)=\nu\,\partial_x^2u_{\varepsilon}(x,t)\,dt-u_{\varepsilon}(x,t)D_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}(x,t)\,dt+dW(t),
$$

where we define the approximate derivative D_{ε} by

$$
D_{\varepsilon} u(x,t) = \frac{u(x + a\varepsilon, t) - u(x - b\varepsilon, t)}{(a + b)\varepsilon}
$$

for some $a, b > 0$ with $a + b > 0$.

- \blacktriangleright In the absence of the noise term, one can see that this solution converges to the exact solution as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.
- \triangleright For the stochastic equation, we will show that this is not always the case (only for $a = b$).

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

Consider first the solution v to the stochastic heat equation

$$
dv = \nu \partial_x^2 v \, dt + dW(t).
$$

Using the ansatz

$$
v(t,x)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}c_n(t)\frac{\mathrm{e}^{inx}}{\sqrt{2\pi}},
$$

it can be checked that the stationary solution is given by

$$
v(t,x)=\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{\xi_n(t)}{2\sqrt{\nu\pi}in}\mathrm{e}^{inx}+B(t)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}},
$$

where the ξ_n are complex-valued Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with $\mathbb{E}|\xi_n(t)|^2=1$ and time constant νn^2 that are independent, except for the condition that $\xi_{-n} = \bar{\xi}_n$.

We would expect v to have the same smoothness properties as u .

The derivative of v is then (formally)

$$
\partial_x v(x) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\xi_n(t)}{2\sqrt{\nu \pi}} e^{inx}.
$$

The ε -approximation to the derivative (as defined above) is

$$
D_{\varepsilon}v(x)=\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{\xi_n(t)}{2\sqrt{\nu\pi}}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{ina\varepsilon}-\mathrm{e}^{-inb\varepsilon}}{in(a+b)\varepsilon}\mathrm{e}^{inx}.
$$

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

It is clear that the terms in approximate derivative are a good approximation only up to $n \approx 1/\varepsilon$.

Comparison of $v\partial_x v$ and $vD_\varepsilon v$

 \blacktriangleright Since

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-i0x}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, v(x) \partial_x v(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big(\frac{v^2}{2} (2\pi) - \frac{v^2}{2} (0) \Big) = 0,
$$

the $n = 0$ mode of $v\partial_x v$ vanishes.

 \blacktriangleright The $n = 0$ mode of $vD_\varepsilon v$ can be found as

$$
\sum_{k\neq 0}\frac{\xi_k(t)}{2\sqrt{\nu\pi}ik}\frac{\xi_{-k}(t)\left(e^{-ika\varepsilon}-e^{ikb\varepsilon}\right)}{2\sqrt{\nu\pi}i(-k)(a+b)\varepsilon}=\sum_{k>0}\frac{|\xi_k(t)|^2}{2\pi\nu k}\frac{\cos k b\varepsilon-\cos k a\varepsilon}{(a+b)\varepsilon k}
$$

K ロ > K @ > K 할 > K 할 > → 할 → ⊙ Q ⊙

which does not vanish in general ...

... Indeed, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, the expectation of the $n = 0$ -mode

$$
\sum_{k>0} \frac{|\xi_k(t)|^2}{2\pi\nu k} \frac{\cos k b \varepsilon - \cos k a \varepsilon}{(a+b)\varepsilon k}
$$

converges to

$$
\frac{1}{2\nu\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos bx - \cos ax}{(a+b)x^2} dx = \frac{1}{4\nu}\frac{b-a}{b+a},
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

which vanishes if and only if $a = b$.

Conjecture

As $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, the solution of the approximating equation

$$
\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} = \nu \, \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon} - u_{\varepsilon} D_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} + \sigma \, \partial_t dW(t),
$$

converges to the solution of

$$
\partial_t u = \nu \, \partial_x^2 u - u \partial_x u - \frac{\sigma^2}{4\nu} \frac{b-a}{b+a} + \sigma \, \partial_t dW(t).
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 > K 할 > 1 할 > 1 이익어

Simulations

We will "verify" the conjecture using a numerical simulation. In order to do so, we \ldots

 \blacktriangleright approximate space by

$$
\big\{\Delta x,2\Delta x,\ldots,(N-1)\Delta x,N\Delta x\big\}
$$

where $\Delta x = 2\pi/N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

E approximate ∂^2 by

$$
\partial_x^2 u \approx \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & & & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_{n-1} \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} =: Lu
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 > K 할 > 1 할 > 1 이익어

P approximate $uD_\varepsilon u$ by

$$
\Big(u_j\frac{u_{j+a}-u_{j-b}}{(a+b)\Delta x}\Big)_{j=1,\ldots,N}
$$

where $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$.

► approximate dW by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{\Delta x}$ dB where B is an N -dimensional standard Brownian motion.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q @

The analysis we did above for $\partial_x^2 u - u D_\varepsilon u$ can be repeated for the fully space-discretised equation with drift $Lu - uD_{\varepsilon}u$.

► The eigenvectors of L are given by e^{inx} where $n \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\frac{1}{2}\}$ $\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, N - \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and x is on the grid. The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$
\lambda_n = \frac{2\cos(n\Delta x - 1)}{\Delta x^2}.
$$

- \triangleright We can work out the evolution equation of the Fourier modes as before to get the variances in stationarity.
- \blacktriangleright The same argument as above allows to compute the correction term for the drift. Result: for $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, the additional drift term is $-\sigma^2/4\nu$.

KID KA KERKER E VOOR

Time Discretisation

We use θ -method with $\theta = 1/2$ to discretise time. Thus, we have

$$
x^{(n+1)} = x^{(n)} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} L(\theta x^{(n+1)} + (1 - \theta) x^{(n)}) \Delta t + \text{drift}(x^{(n)}) \Delta t + \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}} \xi^{(n+1)}.
$$

Solving this for $x^{(n+1)}$ gives

$$
(I - \frac{\theta \Delta t}{\sigma^2}L)x^{(n+1)} = (I + \frac{(1-\theta)\Delta t}{\sigma^2}L)x^{(n)} + drift(x^{(n)})\Delta t + \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}}\xi^{(n+1)}.
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

つくで \Box

È $2Q$ **K ロ ▶ K 伊 ▶ K 舌** ă ×. ×. ×

Small noise/viscosity limit

 2990

活

イロメ イ部メ イ君メ イ君メ

We are interested in the equation

$$
du = \varepsilon \, \partial_x^2 u \, dt - u \partial_x u \, dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \, dW
$$

for $\varepsilon \ll 1$.

- For small ε the solution has "shocks" of width $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$.
- In the limit $\varepsilon = 0$, the centred discretisation for the deterministic equation is unstable.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q @

K ロラ X 御う X 差す X 差す 重

 290

Conjecture

$$
\partial_t u_n = -u \partial_x u - \frac{c\sigma^2}{4} \,, \tag{1}
$$

- 1. $\Delta x \ll \varepsilon$: the solution to the finite difference approximation converges to the viscosity solution of [\(1\)](#page-25-0) where $c \in \{1, 0, -1\}$ depending on whether the discretisation is right-handed, centred, or left-handed.
- 2. $\varepsilon \ll \Delta x \ll \sqrt{\varepsilon}$: we expect the finite difference approximation to converge to the solutions to [\(1\)](#page-25-0) only up to the formation of the first shock. After this, one expects to see the solution to become unstable.
- 3. $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \ll \Delta x$: we expect both the viscosity and the noise term to become irrelevant, so that the solution behaves like the corresponding approximation to the inviscid Burgers' equation.

Conclusion

- \triangleright Finite difference discretisation cans converge to the wrong solution!
- \triangleright Using the heuristic method presented here, it is sometimes possible to guess the exact form of the error.
- \blacktriangleright There are various extension possible, *e.g.* more general non-linearities of the form

$$
\partial_t u_i = \nu \, \partial_x^2 u_i + \sum_j g_{ij}(u) \partial_x u_j + \sigma \, \partial_t W_i
$$

(done) and multiplicative noise (still to do).