#### Sampling Conditioned Hypoelliptic Diffusions

Jochen Voss

University of Leeds

16th August 2010

Joint work with Martin Hairer and Andrew Stuart

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Outline

Sampling on Path Space

Main Result

Remarks about the Proof

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

# Sampling on Path Space

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The solution of an SDE, e.g. of the form

 $dX_t = b(X_t) \, dx + a(X_t) \, dW_t \qquad \forall t \in [0, T],$ 

defines a probability distribution  $\mu$  on the space  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Idea.** Use a MCMC method, *i.e.* find a stochastic process x with values in  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  whose stationary distribution coincides with the target distribution  $\mu$ . Assuming ergodicity, we can probe all statistical properties of  $\mu$  using ergodic averages:

$$\int_{C([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)} f(x) d\mu(x) = \lim_{S\to\infty} \frac{1}{S} \int_0^S f(x_\tau) d\tau.$$

This point of view is particularly useful, if there are additional contraints on the solution X which destroy the basic Markovian structure of the process. Example: sampling bridges with X(0) = a and X(T) = b. basic example: sampling Brownian bridges

The stochastic heat equation

 $\partial_{\tau} x(\tau,t) = \partial_t^2 x(\tau,t) + \sqrt{2} \, \partial_{\tau} w(\tau,t)$ 

with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$x(\tau,0)=0, \qquad x(\tau,T)=0$$

has the distribution of a Brownian bridge on [0, T] as its stationary distribution.

- $\partial_{\tau} w$  is space-time white noise
- ► t ∈ [0, T] is physical time ("space" of the SPDE, time of the Brownian bridge)
- τ ∈ [0,∞) is algorithmic time
   (time of the SPDE)



イロト イポト イラト イラト

One can obtain results like the following:

**theorem 1.** Let X be the solution of

 $dX_t = f(X_t) dt + dW_t, \qquad X(0) = 0, \ X(T) = 0.$ 

Then the stationary distribution of

$$\partial_ au x( au,t) = \partial_t^2 x( au,t) - ig(ff'+rac{1}{2}f''ig)(x) + \sqrt{2}\,\partial_ au\,w( au,t)$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions

 $x(\tau, 0) = 0, \qquad x(\tau, T) = 0$ 

coincides with the distribution of X on  $C([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ .

The result needs (among other assumptions) that f is a gradient.

## **Main Result**

We consider hypoelliptic diffusions of the form

$$m\ddot{X}_t = F(X_t) - \dot{X}_t + \sqrt{2/\beta} \, \dot{W}_t$$

where  $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\dot{W}$  is white noise. This could, for example, describe a physical system with friction and noise.

**Example.** We can consider the case F = -V' where V is a double-well potential:

$$V(x)=(x{-}1)^2(x{+}1)^2 \quad orall x\in \mathbb{R}.$$

Depending on the amount of noise, the system exhibits metastable behaviour.



$$m\ddot{X}_t = F(X_t) - \dot{X}_t + \sqrt{2/\beta} \, \dot{W}_t \qquad X_0 = 0$$



Sometimes we want to simulate the dynamics of the system conditioned on certain events.

#### Examples.

- We can study the transitions between meta-stable states by simulating paths conditioned on a transition happening.
- In signal processing we want to find the conditional distribution of the system given (noisy) observations.

**Problem.** How can we sample from the distribution  $\mu$  of

$$m\ddot{X}_t = F(X_t) - \dot{X}_t + \sqrt{2/\beta}\,\dot{W}_t,$$

conditioned on  $X_0 = x_-$  and  $X_T = x_+$ ?

$$m\ddot{X}_t = F(X_t) - \dot{X}_t + \sqrt{2/\beta} \, \dot{W}_t \qquad X_0 = -1, \quad X_{1000} = +1$$



#### Main Result

**theorem 2.** Let  $x: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  be the solution of

 $\partial_{\tau} x(\tau, t) = \mathcal{L}(x(\tau, t) - \bar{x}(t)) + \mathcal{N}(x) + \sqrt{2} \partial_{\tau} w(\tau, t)$ 

where  $\mathcal{L}=-rac{eta}{2}ig(m^2\partial_t^4-\partial_t^2ig)$  with certain boundary conditions,

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_k(x) &= -rac{eta}{2} \mathcal{F}_i(x) \partial_k \mathcal{F}_i(x) + rac{meta}{2} \partial_t x_i \partial_t x_j \partial_{ij}^2 \mathcal{F}_k(x) \ &- rac{eta}{2} \partial_t x_j ig(\partial_j \mathcal{F}_k(x) - \partial_k \mathcal{F}_j(x)ig) \ &+ rac{meta}{2} \partial_t^2 x_j ig(\partial_j \mathcal{F}_k(x) + \partial_k \mathcal{F}_j(x)ig) \ &+ rac{meta}{2} ig(\mathcal{F}_k(x_-) \partial_t \delta_0 - \mathcal{F}_k(x_+) \partial_t \delta_Tig) \end{aligned}$$

and w is a cylindrical Wiener process. Then, in stationarity, the distribution of  $t \mapsto x(\tau, t)$  coincides with the target distribution  $\mu$ .

#### **Remarks about the Proof**

As usual, we can rewrite the second order SDE as a system of first order SDEs. Let  $q_t = X_t$  and  $p_t = m\dot{X}_t$ , then

$$dq_t = \frac{1}{m} p_t dt, \qquad q_0 = x_-$$
  
$$dp_t = -\frac{1}{m} p_t dt + F(q) dt + \sqrt{2/\beta} dW_t, \quad p_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{m}{\beta}).$$

**remark.** q is a deterministic function of p. Using this function we can solve the second equation to get p. Finally we can compute q from p.

## The linear case (F = 0)

For F = 0, the hypoelliptic SDE simplifies to

 $m\ddot{X}_t = -\dot{X}_t + \sqrt{2/\beta}\,\dot{W}_t.$ 

Since this equation is linear, X is a Gaussian process and its distribution is completely characterised by the mean  $\bar{x}$  and the covariance operator C.

**lemma.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a linear, negative, self-adjoint operator on  $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = -\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  is trace class and let  $\bar{x} \in L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then

$$\partial_{\tau} x(\tau,t) = \mathcal{L}(x-\bar{x}) \, d\tau + \sqrt{2} \partial_{\tau} w(\tau,t)$$

has stationary distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\bar{x}, \mathcal{C})$ .

In our situation we get  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\beta}{2} (m^2 \partial_t^4 - \partial_t^2)$  (with certain boundary conditions).

## The non-linear case ( $F \neq 0$ )

**lemma (on**  $\mathbb{R}^n$ **).** Let  $\mu, \nu$  be probability distributions. Assume that  $\nu$  is the stationary distribution of

 $dz(\tau) = Lz(\tau) d\tau \qquad \qquad + \sqrt{2} dw(\tau).$ 

and that  $\frac{d\mu}{d\nu} = \varphi$ . Then

 $dx(\tau) = Lx(\tau) \, d\tau + \nabla \log \varphi(x(\tau)) + \sqrt{2} \, dw(\tau)$ 

has stationary distribution  $\mu$ .

The result can be carried over to infinite dimensional situations by finite dimensional approximation.

**note.** Since the equation for z is linear, we know  $\nu = \mathcal{N}(0, -L^{-1})$ .

In our case:

- $\nu$  is the target distribution with F = 0,
- $\mu$  is the target distribution with  $F \neq 0$ .

Girsanov's formula gives

$$arphi(q) = \exp\Big(\sqrt{rac{eta}{2}}\int_0^T \langle F(q(t)), dW(t) 
angle - rac{eta}{4}\int_0^T |F(q(t))|^2 dt\Big).$$

The (variational) derivative of  $\varphi$  is given by

$$D \log \varphi(q)h = \frac{m\beta}{2} \left( F_k(q_+)h'_k(T) - F_k(q_-)h'_k(0) \right) - \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^T \left( F_i \partial_k F_i - m\dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j \partial_{ij}^2 F_k + \dot{q}_j (\partial_j F_k - \partial_k F_j) - m\ddot{q}_j (\partial_j F_k + \partial_k F_j) \right) h_k(t) dt = \langle \mathcal{N}(q), h \rangle.$$

#### Remarks.

• Existence of local solution follows from the fact that the non-linearity  $\mathcal{N}$  is a Lipschitz function from  $H^{3/2+\epsilon}$  to  $H^{-3/2-\epsilon}$  (for good enough F). One can get the required a-priori bounds to prove the existence of global solutions. The most "dangerous" term in the non-linearity is

$$\partial_t^2 x_j (\partial_j F_k(x) + \partial_k F_j(x)).$$

Differently from the earlier result (for first order SDEs), we do not require the drift F to be a gradient.

#### Conclusion

- The method provides a generic framework to derive sampling equations, many applications are possible (*e.g.* nonlinear filtering).
- Different from the first-order SDE case, we do not require a gradient structure.
- Interesting problems in the theory of the method, implementation, and applications.

#### References

- M. Hairer, A.M. Stuart and J. Voss, Sampling Conditioned Diffusions. Pages 159–186 in Trends in Stochastic Analysis, Cambridge University Press, vol. 353 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 2009.
- M. Hairer, A.M. Stuart and J. Voss, Sampling Conditioned Hypoelliptic Diffusions. To appear in the Annals of Applied Probability, 2010.